For the Northern District of California

28

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	IN THE UNITED STATE	S DISTRICT COURT
7	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8	TOR THE NORTHERN DIS	TRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9		
10	COBALT PARTNERS, LP, COBALT	No. C 16-02263 WHA
11	PARTNERS II, LP, COBALT OFFSHORE MASTER FUND, LP AND COBALT KC	
12	PARTNERS, LP,	ORDER DENYING PRO HAC VICE APPLICATION
13	Plaintiffs,	Related Cases:
14	V.	Case No. 3:16-cv-02264-WHA Case No. 3:16-cv-02265-WHA
15	SUNEDISON, INC., AHMAD CHATILA, BRIAN WUEBBELS, MARTIN	Case No. 3:16-cv-02268-WHA
16	TRUONG, ALEJANDRO HERNANDEZ, EMMANUEL HERNANDEZ, ANTONIO R. ALVAREZ, PETER BLACKMORE,	
17	CLAYTON DALEY JR., GEORGANNE PROCTOR, STEVEN TESORIERE,	
18	JAMES B. WILLIAMS, RANDY H. ZWIRN, GOLDMAN, SACHS & CO., J.P.	
19	MORGAN SECURITIES LLC, MORGAN STANLEY & CO. LLC, MERRILL	
20	LYNCH, PIERCE, FENNER & SMITH INCORPORATED, DEUTSCHE BANK	
21	SECURITIES INC., MACQUARIE CAPITAL (USA), INC., MCS CAPITAL	
22	MARKETS LLC and DOES 1- 25, inclusive,	
23	Defendants.	
24	/	
2526	The pro hac vice application of Robin E. V	Vechkin is DENIED for failing to comply
27	with Civil Local Rule 11–3. The local rule require	
<i>- '</i>	d Comment of the Comm	

with Civil Local Rule 11–3. The local rule requires that an applicant certify that "he or she is an active member in good standing of the bar of a United States Court or of the highest court of another State or the District of Columbia, specifying such bar" (emphasis added). An

Case 1:16-cv-08032-PKC Document 68 Filed 07/05/16 Page 2 of 2

application that only identifies the state of bar membership — such as "the bar of Texas" — is		
inadequate under the local rule because it fails to identify a specific court (such as the Supreme		
Court of Texas). While the application fees do not need to be paid again, the application cannot		
be processed until a corrected form or application is submitted.		
IT IS SO ORDERED.		
1 m Marie		

Dated: July 5, 2016.

WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE